Q $1 \mapsto v_{+}$ Vtert In Vt V+ ->0 V+ - V+ OV- + V- OV+ V+ OV- - V-U-11 V- - V- 0V-V- OV- 1-> 0 1+1 dim (TRFTS are given by Frobenius algebras. Ring w/ coproduct + counit. (Satisfying some axions). $R = \mathbb{C}[x]_{X^2} = \langle 1, x \rangle_{\mathcal{C}} \qquad \lim_{x \to 0^+} U_+$ CIR ROR R RER REC. The azims one exactly the rules that make this the Suilding block of TQFT.

What if we replace C[x] ye with some other Frobenius algebra? (Vould need such a Frebening algebra to have ding = 2 so that X is an invariant) (Exercise) $R = \frac{\alpha(x)}{(x-\alpha)(x-\beta)} \propto B \in C$ $\alpha = B \quad E_2 = Kh^{i_1 i_2} = E_{\alpha} \quad (e_{\alpha} \quad hono(i_{\alpha}))$ $\alpha \neq B \quad E_2 = Kh^{i_1 i_2} \quad E_{\alpha} = C \oplus C$ $(uhen \ k \ is \ a \ k_{i_1}).$ eg. (when K is a kust). Focus on C(x)/x2-1 $V_{+} \longmapsto V_{+} \otimes V_{-} + V_{-} \otimes V_{+}$ V+ &V-V- V+ V- $V_{-} \rightarrow V_{-} \otimes V_{-} + V_{+} \otimes V_{+}$ $V = \otimes V = \longrightarrow V_{+}$

Nor differential of on EKh preserves or raises the quantum j-degree. $F^{\delta} \widehat{CKL}^{i}(D) := \bigoplus CKL^{i,k}(D)$ $k \ge j$ $= \mathcal{F}^{*}(\mathcal{H}_{h}^{*}(D) \in \mathcal{F}^{*-1}(\mathcal{H}_{h}^{*}(D) \in ...)$ $\widetilde{d}(\mathcal{F}^{\circ}(\mathcal{H}_{L}^{i}(\mathcal{D})) \cong \mathcal{F}^{\circ}(\mathcal{H}_{L}^{i+1}(\mathcal{D}))$ Turns out that & HKh'(D) has ding = 2 (Men Kis a knot). JS 622 s.t. $Gr^{s+1}\widetilde{H}^{\circ} \cong Gr^{s+1}\widetilde{H}^{\circ} \cong G$ This s is culled By working at the cochain level. the Raymussen invariant.

 $\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} & & & \\ & &$

Link woord ism.

Such a link abordism can be presented as a "morie" of diagram stills/frames. Successive diagrams digger by R'n'r or handle move . \$ ___ O Exercise: Convince yourself that each. handle move D - D' gives an obvious chain map $C(D) \longrightarrow C(D')$ using the TAFT.

There are also chain honotopy equivalences for each R'm'r move. So this gives a map HKh'' (Ko) - HKh' ist Z(E) (K.) $\mathcal{F}^{\delta} \widehat{H}^{i}(K_{0}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}^{\delta+2(\overline{z})} \widehat{H}^{i}(K_{0})$ By arguing at the cochain level one Can show $\widetilde{H}^{\circ}(k_{o}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \widetilde{H}^{\circ}(k_{i})$ $\begin{array}{cccc}
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& &$

s(k) - Co H°(K) GJ Z U $s(u) = 0 \quad (i) \quad (i) \quad I_f \quad \mathcal{L}(\Sigma) = 0 \quad and \\ s(K) > 0 \quad Hen \quad ve \\ would have a \\ contradiction.$

In fact we see $S(K) \leq |\mathcal{X}(\Sigma)|$ S gives rise to a good love bound on the "shice genus" (mininal distance to the unknot).

Exercise O bo to knot atlas. Rich a boot . Look at HKh " Compute S. Ē, $E_{\infty} = G^{\circ} \hat{H}'$ O Look at Piccirillo's celebrated paper on Conway hust for a larger example. sh also has a TAFT. $R = C(x)_{x^{n}}$ Ő replace with other deg = 1 nomic polynomical. bet a spectral sequence starting at sla hondogo.

Advertize Baldwin-Hedder-L. "Thm Sensible perturbations of Khor differential give rise to knot invariants taking The form of spectral sequences, work over (F=Z/2) $d = d_1 + d_2 + d_3 + ...$ Killor diff Honologically filtered, j-graded. $E_{r} = K_{h}^{\prime\prime\prime}(0)$ $Q: E_z = E_{\infty}?$